# THERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF POTASSIUM, RUBIDIUM AND CAESIUM FLUOROANTIMONATES(II1)

### **B. PTASZYŃSKI**

Institute of General Chemistry, Polytechnical University, 90924 Łódź (Poland) **(Received 24 June 1980)** 

### **ABSTRACT**

The thermal decomposition of crystalline complexes of the types  $M_2[SbF<sub>s</sub>]$ ,  $M[SBF<sub>4</sub>]$ ,  $M[SB<sub>2</sub>F<sub>7</sub>]$  and  $M[SB<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>]$  (where  $M = K$ , Rb, Cs) was studied. Crystals were **prepared by slight modifications of the methods described in the literature. On the basis of the results of thermal analyses the mechanisms of thermal decomposition were proposed. From thermogravimetric curves kinetic parameters were calculated using the methods of Coats and Redfern and Zsako. A comparison was made of the thermal stabilities in the light of available structural data.** 

### **INTRODUCTION**

Antimony(III) fluoride reacts with alkali metal fluorides to form numerous crystalline complexes of general formula  $M_2[SbF_5]$ ,  $M[SbF_4]$ ,  $M[Sb_2F_7]$ and  $M[Sb_4F_{13}]$  (where M = alkali metal ion with exception of Li<sup>+</sup>). A number of X-ray and spectroscopic studies of these compounds have been made, the point of interest being the arrangement of the fluorine atoms around the antimony atom  $[1-13]$  as well as the influence of the outer sphere cation on the structure of the complex anion. At higher temperatures alkali metal fluoroantimonates(III) decompose, with the liberation of  $SbF_3$ . In earlier papers [14-171, the thermal decomposition of some of these complexes in air was studied and the mechanisms of the decomposition reactions were established. Some conclusions were drawn concerning the effect of outer sphere cations on thermal stability. The thermal decomposition of fluoroantimonates(II1) under an atmosphere of oxygen is complicated because of the partial oxidation of  $SbF_3$  at high temperatures.

The subject of the present work is the study of the thermal stability and of the kinetics of thermal decomposition reactions of potassium, rubidium and caesium fluoroantimonates(III) of the types  $M_2[SbF_s]$ ,  $M[SbF_4]$ ,  $M[SB<sub>2</sub>F<sub>7</sub>]$ , and  $M[SB<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>]$  (M = alkali metal) under dynamic conditions in an inert gas atmosphere. Kinetic parameters of decomposition reactions will be evaluated from TG curves. An attempt will also be made to determine the influence of the outer sphere cation on the thermal stability of complexes and to correlate, whenever possible, their structural properties with thermal parameters.

fm4n-~m1181 **N-m-m4onnl~nz 50 0 1983 Elsevier Scientific Publishing Comnanv** 

## *Materials and apparatus*

Well-formed crystals of the fluoroantimonates under study were prepared by slight modifications to the methods described in the literature  $[1-4, 1]$ 18-20]. The starting materials were analytical grade  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $Rb_2CO_3$  and  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$ , chemically pure  $Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and 40% HBr. Antimony, alkali metals and fluorine were determined by means of standard chemical methods. The thermal investigations were carried out using a Derivatograph MOM Budapest OD-102/1500"C. Measurements were made in helium in the temperature range  $20-1000^{\circ}$ C at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>. The sensitivity of the galvanometers for DTG and DTA were l/10. TG sensitivity was 100 mg.  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was used as reference material. The mass of the sample amounted to **100** mg.

## *Thermoanaly tical studies*

## *Complexes of the type M,[SbFJ*

Typical thermdl analysis curves of pentafluoroantimonates(II1) are shown in Fig. 1. Thermal measurements indicate that  $K_2[SbF_5]$  undergoes a onestep decomposition which takes place between 300 and 700°C. The corresponding endothermic peak on the DTA curve is at 520°C and the flat peak on the DTG curve at 600°C. The decomposition is preceded by melting of the sample (peak on DTA at 295°C). The weight loss determined from TG [12.0%) is in good agreement with that calculated from the following



Fig. 1. Thermal analysis curves of Rb<sub>2</sub>[SbF<sub>5</sub>].

reaction scheme (12.1%)

 $5 K_{2}[\text{SbF}_{5}] = 10 \text{ KF} \cdot 4 \text{ SbF}_{3} + \text{SbF}_{3} \tag{1}$ 

According to the thermal investigations,  $Rb_2$ [SbF<sub>5</sub>] decomposes within the temperature range 280-620°C. Endothermic peaks occur on the DTA curve sharp at 270°C (melting of the sample) and blurred at about 480°C (decomposition). Only one peak appears on the DTG at 480°C. A mass loss of 8.4% is found, calculated according to eqn. (2) and also from the TG curve.

$$
5.5 Rb_2[SbF_5] = 11 RbF \cdot 4.5 SbF_3 + SbF_3
$$
\n(2)

Slow and continuous decomposition of  $Cs<sub>2</sub>[SbF<sub>5</sub>]$  is observed within a large temperature range of 220-64O"C. On the DTA curve only one slight endothermic peak at 240°C is visible. On the basis of accessible experimental data it is difficult to write the decomposition scheme of caesium pentafluoroantimonate(II1).

## *Complexes of the general formula M[SbF,J*

According to the thermoanalytical curves (as an example thermal analysis curves of  $Rb[SbF<sub>4</sub>]$  are presented in Fig. 2), the decomposition of potassium, rubidium and caesium tetrafluoroantimonates(II1) are single-step processes. On the TG curves of  $Rb[SbF_4]$  and  $Cs[SbF_4]$  slow decreases in mass are also observed at temperatures above  $750^{\circ}$ C for caesium and  $800^{\circ}$ C for rubidium tetrafluoroantimonates(II1).

Decomposition of the potassium compound starts at about 24O'C and is complete at 590°C. The one sharp endothermic peak on the DTA curve at  $252^{\circ}$ C is connected with the melting and decomposition of the complex.





**Fig. 2. Thermal analysis curves of Rb[SbFQ].** 

Fig. 3. Thermal analysis curves of Rb[Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>7</sub>].

The corresponding mass loss amounts to 39.0% and is in agreement with the weight loss calculated from eqn. (3) (37.7%)

$$
2 K[SbF4] = 2 KF \cdot SbF3 + SbF3 \uparrow
$$
 (3)

At higher temperatures a slight perturbation in the course of the end part of the TG curve is observed. The DTG curve of  $K[SbF_4]$  is flat and cannot be localized exactly.

The thermal decomposition of the rubidium salt takes place between 300 and  $580^{\circ}{\rm C}.$  There are two slight peaks on the DTA curve: the first at  $230^{\circ}{\rm C}$ corresponds to the melting of the sample, and the second at 260°C is connected with the decomposition. On the DTG curve a distinct peak at 540°C is visible. The theoretical mass loss calculated for reaction (4) amounts

$$
5 \text{ Rb}[SbF_4] = 5 \text{ RbF} \cdot 3 \text{ SbF}_3 + 2 \text{ SbF}_3 \uparrow \tag{4}
$$

to 25.2% and that determined from the TG curve is 26.8%. According to the thermoanalytical curves, decomposition of  $Cs[SeF<sub>4</sub>]$  begins at 200°C and finishes at 500°C and is accompanied by a slight peak on the DTA curve at 210°C. On the DTG curve a blurred peak at about 365°C is visible. The results of analysis are compatible with the following reaction

$$
7\text{Cs}[SbF_4] = 7\text{CsF} \cdot 5\text{SbF}_3 + 2\text{SbF}_3\text{t} \tag{5}
$$

A mass loss according to this scheme amounts to 15.4%, while that determined from **the TG curve is to 15.2%** 

## *Complexes of the type M[Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>7</sub>]*

The process of thermal decomposition of the heptafluorodiantimonates(II1) under study can be divided into two overlapping steps (Fig. 3). The first endothermic peaks on the DTA curves of potassium, rubidium and caesium salts connected with the first reaction step are at **258, 225** and 228"C, respectively. It is difficult to localize peaks on the DTA curve corresponding to the second reaction step. Besides, there is one distinct exothermic peak on the DTA curve of  $K[Sh_2F_7]$  at 595°C. On the TG curves of each compound there are two rather blurred peaks at 390 and 555°C (for  $K[{\rm Sb}_2F_7]$ ), 380°C and 595°C (for  $Rb[{\rm Sb}_2F_7]$ ) and 400°C and ca. 560°C (for  $Cs[Sb_2F_7]$ ). The experimental results are compatible with the following thermal decomposition reaction of  $K[Sb_2F_7]$  (I + II steps between 250 and 600°C)

$$
K[Sh_2F_7] = KF \cdot SbF_3 + SbF_3 \uparrow
$$
 (6)

The theoretical mass loss calculated for this reaction is  $43.0\%$ , while the experimental result gives 45.0%. The proposed decomposition reactions of rubidium and caesium heptafluorodiantimonates(II1) are as follows

$$
Rb[Sh_2F_7] = RbF \cdot SbF_3 + SbF_3 \uparrow (240-600^{\circ}C)
$$
\n
$$
\tag{7}
$$

$$
2.5 \text{ Cs[Sb}_2\text{F}_7] = 2.5 \text{ CsF} \cdot 3 \text{ SbF}_3 + 2 \text{ SbF}_3 \uparrow (240 - 580^{\circ}\text{C}) \tag{8}
$$

The mass losses calculated on the basis of eqns. (7) and (8) are 38.7% and 27.0% and those determined from TG curves are 40.0% and 27.0% respec-



**Fig. 4. Thermal analysis curves of Rb[SbaF 131.** 

**tively. Further** slow **decomposition of the** complexes **M[Sb,F,] starts at**  about 800°C for  $K[Sh_2F_7]$  and  $Rb[Sh_2F_7]$  and at about 680°C for  $CsfSb_2F_7$ ].

## *Complexes of the type M[Sb<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>]*

Typical thermoanalytical curves are shown in Fig. 4. The thermal decomposition reactions of  $M[Sh_4F_{13}]$  (where  $M = K$ , Rb) are one-step processes which take place within the temperature range  $140-400^{\circ}$ C for K[Sb<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>] and 180-400°C for Rb[Sb<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>]. The corresponding peaks on the DTA **curves of potassium and rubidium compounds are at 240 and 228°C and on the DTG curves at 290 and 32O"C, respectively. On the basis of the experimen'al data the following reaction scheme can be supposed** 

$$
M[Sh_4F_{13}] = MF \cdot 3 SbF_3 + SbF_3 \uparrow (M = K, Rb)
$$
\n(9)

The mass losses calculated according to eqn. (9) are  $23.1\%$  for K $[Sb_4F_{13}]$ **and 21.8% for Rb[Sb4F13]. The weight losses determined from TG curves are ca. 25.0% and 22.0%. The next slow decrease in mass, being a consequence of the second step of decomposition of K[Sb,F,,]. as well as the volatilization of the decomposition products, starts at ca. 570°C, and reaches 49.0%**  at  $1000^{\circ}$ C. The TG curve of Rb[ $Sh_4F_{13}$ ] above the temperature of about **500°C drops slowly and the total weight loss at 1000" C amounts to 50.0%.**  The first step of the decomposition of Cs[Sb<sub>4</sub>F<sub>13</sub>] begins at 220°C and **finishes at ca. 460°C. The appropriate peaks on the DTA and DTG curves are at 227 and 330°C respectively. Within the temperature** range 460-6OO"C a **small mass loss (ca. 10%) is observed. The experimental weight loss (34.5%) is in good agreement with the theoretical value calculated from the following reaction (33 .O%)** 

$$
2.5 \text{ Cs[Sb4F13]} = 2.5 \text{ CsF} \cdot 6 \text{SbF3} + 4 \text{SbF3} \tag{10}
$$

## *Kinetic analysis of thermograuirnetric data*

Reaction order and apparent activation energy of the first steps of decomposition of the compounds under study were calculated from thermogravimetic data. Using the method of Coats and Redfem [21] the following plots were drawn

$$
y = \log \left[ \frac{1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1 - n}}{T^2 (1 - \alpha)} \right]
$$
 vs.  $1/T$  for  $n = 0, 1/2, 2$ 

and

$$
y = \log\left[\frac{-\log(1-\alpha)}{T^2}\right]
$$
 vs.  $1/T$  for  $n = 1$ 

where  $\alpha$  is the fraction of the substance decomposed at temperature *T* and *n* is reaction order. The values of  $n$  for which straight lines were obtained were chosen as correct reaction orders. From the slopes of lines activation energies were calculated. In order to determine kinetic parameters the method of Zsako [22] was also employed. By means of trial and error, the activation energy was estimated which ensures the maximum constancy of  $B$  at different temperatures.

$$
B = \log g(\alpha) - \log p(x) = \log \frac{ZE_a}{Rq}
$$

The values of  $g(\alpha)$  at different temperatures were calculated for zero, half, first and second order reactions using an analytical form of the function  $g(\alpha)$ given in the literature [22]. The values of  $-\log p(x)$  for different temperatures and activation energies were taken from ref. 22. For intermediate *E,* 

TABLE 1

Kinetic parameters of decomposition reactions of fluoroantimonates(III)

Compound	No. of reaction	Range of α	Method of Coats and Redfern [21]		Method of Zsako $[22]$	
			n	$E_{\bf a}$ $(kcal/mole^{-1})$	n	$E_{\bf a}$ $(kcal/mole^{-1})$
$K_2$ [SbF <sub>5</sub> ]	1	$0.2 - 0.9$	0	6.1		
$Rb_2[SbF_5]$ Cs <sub>2</sub> [SbF <sub>5</sub> ]	2	$0.2 - 0.8$	0	8.8		
$K[{\rm SbF}_4]$	3	$0.08 - 0.80$	2	15.0	$\mathbf{2}$	15.7
Rb[SbF <sub>4</sub> ]	4	$0.1 - 0.9$	0	15.2		
Cs[SbF <sub>4</sub> ]	5	$0.1 - 0.9$	$\bf{2}$	18.9	$\mathbf{2}$	19.4
$K[Sh_2F_7]$	6	$0.08 - 0.9$	1	14.1	1.	14.3
Rb[Sb <sub>2</sub> F <sub>7</sub> ]	7	$0.07 - 0.9$	1	14.4	1	15.0
$Cs[Sh_2F_7]$	8	$0.08 - 0.7$	1	13.5		13.7
$K[Sh_4F_{13}]$	9	$0.08 - 0.9$	2	14.7	$\mathbf 2$	15.0
$Rb[Sh_4F_{13}]$	9	$0.1 - 0.9$	$\mathbf{2}$	17.0	$\mathbf{2}$	17.7
$Cs[Sh_4F_{13}]$	10	$0.05 - 0.6$	2	17.4	$\mathbf{z}$	17.9

values the corresponding  $-\log p(x)$  values were found by means of linear interpolation. The agreement between experimental data and presumed  $E_a$ was characterized by standard deviation  $\delta$  of individual  $B$  values from their arithmetical mean  $\bar{B}$ . The final results of calculations of kinetic parameters are shown in Table 1.

### **DISCUSSION**

The thermal decomposition of the crystalline complexes of the types  $M_2[SbF_5]$ ,  $M[SbF_4]$ , and  $M[Sb_4F_{13}]$  (where  $M = K$ , Rb, Cs) are single-staged processes while the compounds of the general formula  $M[{\rm Sb}_2F_7]$  decompose in two steps. In the course of decomposition gaseous  $SbF_3$  is liberated. The thermal stability of the compounds under study defined by the temperature of the first endothermic peak on the DTA curve corresponding to the decomposition decreases in the order: potassium salts  $>$  rubidium salts  $>$ caesium salts. It is inconsistent with the results of most of the publications [23-30] that the thermal stability of complexes increases with increasing radius of the monovalent cation, although there are a number of works leading to the reverse conclusions [31-341. On the other hand, the ratio of the number of moles of  $SbF_3$  liberated to those bonded in the compound heated decreases, with the exception of  $Cs[Sh_4F_{13}]$ , in the same order, suggesting an increase of resistance against the thermal decomposition. Compounds of the type  $M_2[SbF_s]$  are distinctly more stable than the other complexes whose thermal stabilities differ slightly. Also, the difference in stability between rubidium and caesium salts is always smaller than between potassium and rubidium salts. At higher temperatures the decreases in mass connected with further slow decomposition and evaporation of alkali metal fluorides are observed but they were not studied in detail. Using the Coats— Redfern and Zsako's methods, the kinetic parameters of the decomposition reactions were calculated from the thermogravimetric data. The results are presented in Table 1. In all kinds of complexes under study, the apparent activation energy increases in the order  $K \rightarrow Cs$ .

The  $E_a$  values calculated by the method of Zsako are always a little higher  $(1-4\%)$  than those calculated by the Coats-Redfern method. Some of the conclusions drawn above become clearer in the light of the structural informations available for the investigated fluoroantimonates. X-Ray studies have been made of a number of these complexes  $[1-13]$  the point of interest being the arrangement of fluorine atoms about the antimony atom. Complexes of the type  $M_2$ [SbF<sub>5</sub>] are isomorphous [3]. The F atoms around the Sb atom occupy five comers of an octahedron, the sixth comer being occupied by the stereochemically active pair of electrons. The discrete mononuclear anions  $SbF_{5}^{2-}$  are formed (Fig. 5a). The mean distance of Sb-5 F is 2.03 Å. The complexes M[SbF<sub>4</sub>] all have different structures. In K[SbF<sub>4</sub>] [4] the five fluorine atoms occupy, five of the six corners of an octahedron, as in  $M_2[{\rm SbF}_5]$ . Two F atoms are shared between two Sb atoms and thus large tetranuclear complexes of formula  $\text{Sb}_4\text{F}_{16}^4$  are formed (Fig. 5b), these being cemented together by the potassium atoms. The mean



Fig. 5. (a) Mononuclear anion SbF<sup>2</sup>; (b) tetranuclear anion Sb<sub>4</sub>F<sub>16</sub>; (c) binuclear anion  $\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7^2$  in  $\text{Cs}[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7]$ ; (d) chain  $(-\text{SbF}_3 - \text{SbF}_4)^{x-}$  in  $\text{K}[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7]$ .

distance Sb—F for the fluorine atoms linked to only one Sb atom is 2.01  $\AA$ and the mean distance in Sb-F-Sb bridges is  $2.24$  Å.

In the structures of  $Rb[SBF_4]$  and  $Cs[SBF_4]$  the distorted tetranuclear complexes are formed, the distortion being stronger in the caesium salt [12]. The compounds  $M[Sb_2F_7]$  have different structures [2,7,13]. In Cs[Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>7</sub>] the Sb atoms are surrounded by four fluorine atoms situated at four of the five corners of a trigonal bipyramid. The fifth corner in the axial position is occupied by the stereochemically active pair of electrons. Two bipyramids share one fluorine atom so that discrete anions  $\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_7$  are formed (Fig. 5c). The Sb-F distance in the Sb-F-Sb bridge is 2.22 Å. Mastin and Ryan  $[6]$ show that the structure of  $K[Sh_2F_7]$  is quite different. It is built up of  $ShF_4^$ ions and  $SbF_3$  molecules joined together by the  $Sb-F-Sb$  bridges to infinite chains  $(-SbF_3-SbF_4)^{x-}$  (Fig. 5d). In the complexes  $M[Sb_2F_7]$  the Sb-F distances in the Sb-F-Sb bridges are

\n
$$
\text{Sb} \, \frac{2.24 \, \text{Å}}{\text{F}} \, \text{F} \, \frac{2.24 \, \text{Å}}{\text{Sb}} \, \text{in} \, \text{Cs} \, \text{Sb}_2 \, \text{F}_7 \, \text{J}
$$
\n

\n\n $\text{Sb} \, \frac{2.05 \times 2.24 \, \text{Å}}{\text{F}} \, \text{F} \, \text{2.24} \, \text{Å} \times 2.55 \, \text{Å} \, \text{Sb} \, \text{...} \, \text{in} \, \text{Rb} \, \text{Sb}_2 \, \text{F}_7 \, \text{J}$ \n

\n\n $\text{Sb} \, \frac{2.05 \, \text{Å}}{\text{F}} \, \text{F} \, \text{2.55} \, \text{Å} \, \text{Sb} \, \text{B} \, \text{Sb} \, \text{in} \, \text{K} \, \text{Sb}_2 \, \text{F}_7 \, \text{J}$ \n

The compounds  $M[Sh_4F_{13}]$  form an isomorphous series with tetragonal symmetry  $[1]$ . In these structures four SbF<sub>3</sub> molecules are arranged around the 13th F atom. The Sb-F distances within the  $SbF_3$  molecule are about 2.0 A and the distances to the central 13th fluorine atom are about 3 A. The individuality of  $SbF_3$  molecules in  $Sb_4F_{13}^-$  groups is preserved. The results of the structural investigations indicate that the trivalent antimony atoms has no fixed coordination number, but has instead an ability to change its coordination number (CN) after the ratio SbF<sub>3</sub>: MF and the radius of the outer

sphere cation. In the complexes under study the CN decreases with increase in the ratio  $SbF_3$ : MF and with increasing radius of the cation. Thus we find  $CN = 5$  in  $M_2[SbF_s]$  and  $M[SbF_4]$ ,  $Cn = 4$  and 5 in  $K[Sh_2F_7]$ ,  $CN = 4$  in  $Cs[Sb_2F_7]$  and  $CN = 3$  in M[ $Sb_4F_{13}$ ]. The compounds with small  $SbF_3$ : MF ratio forming discrete mononuclear complexes are distinctly more stable than the complexes forming polymeric anions with Sb-F-Sb bridges. The  $Cs[Sb_2F_7]$ , built up of discrete, binuclear anions, is a little more stable than  $Rb{Sb_2F_7}$ . The decrease in the thermal stability of the complexes M[SbF<sub>4</sub>] with increasing radius of M is probably caused by the increasing deformation of the large tetranuclear anions  $Sb_4F_{16}^4$ . It seems that the thermal stability of the complexes  $M[Sh_4F_{13}]$  built up of independent  $ShF_3$  groups loosely joined to the 13th F atom and the  $K[Sh_2F_7]$  built up of polymeric anions  $(Sb<sub>4</sub>...SbF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>$  should be distinctly smaller than the stability of the other compounds with polymeric anions, but it is not observed. Perhaps the loss of one molecule of  $SbF_3$  leads to another more stable crystalline phase. In the series of isomorphous compounds the reaction order is the same.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I should like to thank Professor A. Cyganski, Sc.D., for valuable discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 A. Byström and K.A. Wilhelmi, Ark. Kemi, 3 (1951) 17.
- 2 A. Bystrom and K-4. Wilhelmi, Ark. Kemi, 3 (1951) 373.
- 3 A. Byström and K.A. Wilhelmi, Ark. Kemi, 3 (1951) 461.
- 4 A. Byström, S. Bäcklund and K.A. Wilhelmi, Ark. Kemi, 4 (1952) 175.
- 5 A. Byström, S. Bäcklund and K.A. Wilhelmi, Ark. Kemi, 6 (1953) 77.
- 6 S.H. Mastin and R-R. Ryan, Inorg. Chem., 10 (1970) 1757.
- 7 R.R. Ryan, S.H. Mastin and A.C. Larson, Inorg. Chem., 10 (1971) 2793.
- 8 R.R. Ryan and D.T. Croner, Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 2322.
- 9 C.J. Adams and A.J. Downs, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1971) 1534.
- 10 Y.Y. Kharitonov, R.L. Davidovitch, V.I. Kostin, L.A. Zemnukova and V.I. Sergienko, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 17 (5) (1972) 682.
- 11 N. Habibi, B. Ducourant, R. Fourcade and G. Mascherpa, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1-2 (1974) 21.
- 12 M.M. Mehrain, B. Ducourant, R. Fourcade and G. Mascherpa, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 5-6 (1974) 757.
- 13 N. Habibi, B. Ducourant, R. Fourcade and G. Mascherpa, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 11 (1974) 2320.
- 14 B. Ptaszynski, Rocz. Chem., 51 (1977) 1597.
- 15 B. Ptaszyfiski, Pal. J. Chem., 52 (1978) 901.
- 16 B. Ptaszyński, Pol. J. Chem., 52 (1978) 1113.
- 17 B. Ptaszyński, Pol. J. Chem., 52 (1978) 1347.
- 18 F.A. Fluckiger, Lieb. Ann., 84 (1871) 248.
- 19 F.A. Fluckiger, Pogg. Ann., 87 (1852) 245.
- 20 H.L. Wells and F.J. Metzger, Am. J. Sci., 11 (4) (1901) 451.
- 21 A.W. Coats and J.P. Redfern, Nature (London), 201 (1964) 68.
- 22 J. Zsako, J. Phys. Chem., 72 (1968) 2406.
- **23 W. Biltz, 2. Anorg. Chem., 130 (1923) 93.**
- **24 W. Biltz and H.G. Grimm, Z. Anorg. Chem., 145 (1925) 63.**
- **25 N. Pavarano, G. Malquori, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 56 (1926) 23.**
- **26 A. Cygaiiski, M. Zalewicz and A. Grodzicki, Thermochim. Acta, 28 (1979) 323.**
- **27 B. Ptaszyiiski, Thermochim. Acta, 39 (1980) 305.**
- **28 B. Ptaszyiiski, Pol. J. Chem., 9 (1980).**
- **29 R.L. Davidovitsch, L.A. Ziemnukhova and N.I. Siegula, zh. Neorg. Khim., (1974) 2529.**
- **30 F. Solmosi and J. Rasko, J. Therm. Anal., 11 (1977) 289.**
- **31 A. Cygtiski, Rocz. Chem., 39 (1965) 193.**
- **32 A. Cyganski, Rocz. Chem., 50 (1976) 391.**
- **33 B. Seifer, Zh. Neorg. Khim., 7 (1962) 482.**
- **34 W.I. Spitzin, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 20 (1950) 550.**